

RS Report to PTIC meeting – 24 May 2016

Updating of Transmodel

Parts 1 – 3 have now been approved, and work is progressing slowly on Part 4. In the past few days I understand that funding from the EC has now been secured to pay for work to complete Part 4 and to undertake Parts 5 – 8 (and an update of the associated Technical Report) ... but details on this are not yet clear.

[Public Transport Reference Data Model - Part 1: Common Concepts](#)
[Public Transport Reference Data Model - Part 2: Public Transport Network](#)
[Public Transport Reference Data Model - Part 3: Timing Information and Vehicle Scheduling](#)
[Public Transport Reference Data Model - Part 4: Operations Monitoring and Control](#)
[Public Transport Reference Data Model - Part 5: Fare Management](#)
[Public Transport Reference Data Model - Part 6: Passenger Information](#)
[Public Transport Reference Data Model - Part 7: Driver Management](#)
[Public Transport Reference Data Model - Part 8: Management Information and Statistics](#)
[Public Transport — Reference Data Model — Informative Documentation \(Technical Report\)](#)

Distributed Journey Planning

As previously reported the work on this has been extended to allow for a comprehensive review to achieve consistency of terminology with Transmodel, SIRI and NeTEx, with the French Government paying for the core of this work to be done by Kasia Bouree and Christophe Duquesne. It has been found to be much more complicated than had first been thought but steady progress is being made and it is expected that a revised final draft will be completed by September, ready for submission to CEN for approval.

Data Exchange Standard for historical performance data

[OpRa - Operating raw data and statistics exchange]

This preliminary work item (PWI) proposal had previously been discussed by PTIC and no UK support for it was forthcoming. However other countries wanted to progress it – and a formal proposal has been prepared. This was circulated to PTIC by correspondence, but no one indicated an interest in it – so BSI was asked to submit an “abstain” vote on the proposal. The result of the vote on the PWI vote will be available very soon.